# Dive Fatality Equipment Investigation

Jeffrey Bozanic, PhD David M. Carver

# Why is testing dive fatality equipment important?

- The answer might appear straight forward, but the answer might depend on why the information is needed and who is looking for it
- Many different groups have an interest into why a fatality occurred. The groups might share a common interest or they might not
- All the groups however would like more detailed information regarding the fatality to complete their goals and make diving a safer sport



# Which groups currently want equipment information?



- Law Enforcement Agencies
- Medical Examiners
- US Coast Guard
- Training Agencies

- Victim's Families
- Research Groups
- Retail Dive Stores
- The Diving Public
- Insurance Companies
- Law Firms

Equipment
Manufacturers

Law Enforcement: Conducts investigation to help determine cause of death and determine if any criminal culpability exists

Medical Examiner: Charged with determining manner and mode of death

Training Agencies: Want better instructional programs, want to protect their staff and want the sport to be safe

Research Groups: Want access to more detailed and reliable information

Families: They want answers on how and why their loved one died

SHERIFF

Casino Dive Park, Santa Catalina Island California

# **Current State of Equipment Investigation**

No consistent manner in the way equipment is gathered after a fatality

No consistent manner in who is responsible for gathering the equipment

No consistent manner as to what classification the equipment should be held

- Personal Property
- Evidence
- Not held at all

No consistent manner in how the equipment is tested and when

No consensus on who should test the equipment or pay for the testing

No consensus on how long gear should be retained after a fatality occurs

This lecture is not going to solve these issues, but will hopefully raise questions that will benefit the dive community as a whole.

#### **Current Law Enforcement Equipment Testing Practices**

Directed By

Sheriff's Department Police Department Medical Examiner Coroner's Office Conducted By

Funded By

Dive Store Repair Facility Universities Colleges Independent Consultant Law Enforcement US Navy Police / Sheriff's Medical Examiner Coroner's Office US Navy

Equipment testing times directed by law enforcement agencies vary depending on the resources of the department and where the equipment will be tested. Law enforcement agencies should strive to have the equipment testing started immediately and completed within two weeks.

#### **Current Attorney Based Equipment Testing Practices**

Directed By	Conducted By	Funded By
Defense Attorney	Dive Store	Insurance Companies
Plaintiff Attorney	Repair Facility	Victim's Family
Victim's Family	Independent Consultant	Plaintiff's Attorney

Equipment Manufacturer Defense Attorney

Equipment that had not been tested, not thoroughly tested or needed to be retested could be examined months or years after a fatality occurred. This is often the case when attorneys evaluate filing a lawsuit and conduct discovery in preparation for trial. Further testing months or years later could be impossible if the equipment was not collected, was released before the statute of limitations had expired or was held improperly.

#### **Potential Equipment Testing Practices**

Directed By Disadvantages Law Enforcement

#### Advantages

Impartial Rapid (days to weeks) Jurisdiction / Protocols Evidence Procedures Lack of resources Insufficient knowledge of dive equipment or diving knowledge

Medical Examiner/ Coroner Impartial Jurisdiction Insufficient knowledge Timeliness Lack of resources

**Defense Attorney** 

**Plaintiff Attorney** 

Familiarity with subject Motivated by the case Funding Resources

Familiarity with subject Motivated by the case Funding Resources Biased Timeliness

Biased Timeliness

## **Potential Equipment Testing Practices Continued**

Directed By Disadvantages	Advantages	
Manufacturer	Product knowledge Testing Equipment Resource Funding	Biased
US Navy	Testing Infrastructure Impartial	Timeliness Outside their scope of interest
USCG/other government agency (NTSB/FAA)	Impartial Jurisdiction	Timeliness Jurisdiction Bureaucracy Outside their scope of interest
NGO (DAN)	Impartial Subject Knowledge	Lack of resources Acceptance

#### **Equipment Testing Needs**

Jurisdiction for the fatality Impartial examination of the equipment Preservation of information (detailed reports and photographs) Timeliness Information capture Information dissemination Knowledge of the equipment Thoroughness of the investigation Resource base (financial, test equipment, manpower)

#### Law Enforcement Model

#### Advantages

Jurisdiction – Death investigation procedures part of protocol Existing evidence collection procedures Impartial examination Preservation of information Timeliness – Variable, depending on resources Resources (Crime labs, government agencies like AQMD) Information capture

#### Challenges

Timeliness – Variable, depending on resources Information dissemination Knowledge of equipment Resource base (financial, test equipment, manpower) Perception of need Access to resources

## **Dive Fatality versus Dive Accident**

In many jurisdiction, dive fatalities are considered unfortunate accidents and nothing more. Traffic accidents are usually treated with more respect.

Important information that can make the sport safer could be missed when equipment is not held properly and is tested weeks or months later.

For example, most jurisdictions do not send a cylinder used in a dive fatality to an accredited lab for gas analysis, even when the cause of death is undetermined.

#### Equipment Investigation Begins Before the Fatality Occurs

Training needs to be conducted on how to properly handle and document equipment that has been used in a dive fatality

This training should be geared toward rescue divers, dive masters, instructors, first responders, lifeguards, fireman, chamber crews, law enforcement personnel, the Coast Guard and boat crews

These are the personnel that most likely will have contact with a dive fatality and the decedent's dive equipment. If the decedent's dive equipment is altered without the responding Detective's knowledge then any equipment findings could lead to a wrong conclusion.

The handling agency should interview anyone at the scene that could have altered the dive gear. They should not expect that a rescuer will seek them out to advise them of the changes made before arrival The chain of custody starts at the scene when the first person touches the dive equipment and will end at the scene if no one knows it.

Equipment should be held by agencies as evidence as soon as possible with any changes to the equipment documented.

Equipment should be secured like any other piece of evidence, in a secured evidence locker. The equipment should be photographed and tested within the first twenty-four hours.



# Everything available

## Use of standardized procedures



#### **Suggestions for Future Progress?**

Formation of a national investigating authority Training of all possible first responders Education of law enforcement officers Education of coroners / Medical Examiners Formation of an NGO to coordinate testing List of testing resources (vendors) Development of standardized equipment testing procedures Expansion of efforts from general open circuit incidents Coordinated effort to develop financial resource base Development of qualification standards for testing personnel

# THE END!

